REMARKS

Claims 1-15 and 31-44 are pending in the application. During a telephone interview between Applicant's undersigned attorney and Examiner Cunningham on December 14, Examiner Cunningham indicated that claims 1-15 are in condition for allowance. The above amendment to claim 31 was also discussed. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Regarding claim 31, none of the cited art teaches a system including a processor configured to select, for each of the one or more objects, one or more auxiliary rendering attributes corresponding to a level of rendering detail based on the one or more non-positional attributes and further configured to render the pixels for each of the one or more objects according to the corresponding one or more auxiliary rendering attributes, as recited in claim 31. As such, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claim 31 is patentable over the cited art. Applicant also asserts that the rejection of numerous ones of the dependent claims is further unsupported by the teachings of the cited art. However, since the independent claims have been shown to be patentable, a further discussion of the rejection of the dependent claims is not necessary at this time.

CONCLUSION

Applicant submits the application is in condition for allowance, and notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

If any extension of time (under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136) is necessary to prevent the above referenced application from becoming abandoned, Applicant hereby petitions for such extension. If any fees are due, the Commissioner is authorized to charge said fees to Meyertons, Hood, Kivlin, Kowert & Goetzel PC Deposit Account No. 50-1505/5181-80300/RCK.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert C. Kowert Reg. No. 39,255

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT(S)

Meyertons, Hood, Kivlin, Kowert & Goetzel PC P.O. Box 398 Austin, TX 78767-0398

Phone: (512) 853-8850

Date: December 14, 2004